# Environmental risks of new genomic techniques



Christoph Then Testbiotech 15 November 2022

→ Impressum

Datenschutzerklärung

Overview (I)

The FGU (FKZ 3519 84 0300) started the 'Horizon Scanning' project in November 2019. The project focussed on new genomic techniques, including their technical potential and possible adverse effects on nature and the environment.

The project was initiated to identify new technical applications and potential impacts at early stage. The FGU also monitored some regulatory aspects.

Overview (II)

The recent project (FKZ 3519 84 0300) benefited from the results of the previous FGU project (FKZ 3517841500): from the beginning of October 2017 until the end of February 2020, the FGU monitored scientific publications in this field, and summarized these for public communication.

During these projects, several publications were filed for peer review. In addition, factsheets and videos were made available in German and English on the FGU website.

# Peer reviewed publications

Kawall, K. (2019) New Possibilities on the Horizon: Genome Editing Makes the Whole Genome Accessible for Changes. Front Plant Sci 10, 525. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00525

Bauer-Panskus, A., Miyazaki, J., Kawall, K., Then, C. (2020) Risk assessment of genetically engineered plants that can persist and propagate in the environment. Environ Sci Eur 32, 32. doi: 10.1186/s12302-020-00301-0

Then, C. Kawall, K., Valenzuela, N. (2020) Spatio-temporal controllability and environmental risk assessment of genetically engineered gene drive organisms from the perspective of EU GMO Regulation. Integr Environ Assess Manag. doi: 10.1002/ieam.4278

Kawall, K., Cotter, J., Then, C. (2020) Broadening the EU GMO risk assessment in the EU for genome editing technologies in agriculture. Environ Sci Eur, 32(1), 1-24.

Kawall, K. (2021) Genome-edited Camelina sativa with a unique fatty acid content and its potential impact on ecosystems. Environ Sci Eur, 33(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-021-00482-2

Kawall, K. The Generic Risks and the Potential of SDN-1 Applications in Crop Plants. Plants 2021, 10, 2259, doi: 10.3390/plants1011225

Koller F., Schulz M., Juhas M., Bauer-Panskus A., Then C. (2022) The need for risk assessment of interactions between NGT-GMOs before their release into the environment from the perspective of the EU regulation (filed for peer review).

### New Possibilities on the Horizon: Genome Editing Makes the Whole Genome Accessible for Changes (http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389 /fpls.2019.00525)

Frontiers in Plant Science (http://journal.frontiersin.org/journal/373) Published on 24 Apr 2019

View original article (http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2019.00525)

Views Downloads

#### 14,050 13,596 454 All Frontiers PubMed Central

This article has more views than 94% of all Frontiers articles.



More than 50 reviews of scientific publications ('Horizon Scanning')

The following categories were taken into account:

- Technical innovations and examples for applications of NGTs, especially on plants and animals
- New developments in the field of Synthetic Biology
- Research on applications for genetically engineering populations of nondomesticated species
- Impact on and interactions with the environment that can occur from releases of NGT organisms

### The 'CRISPR/Cas video series'

Video 1: CRISPR/Cas - Description of the technology How does CRISPR/Cas get into the cells? CRISPR/Cas can be introduced in various conditions into plant cells. DNA (plasmid) Genetic information for the formation of CRISPR/Cas Cas enzyme complex (cutting component) TTTTT gene scissors guide RNA (recognition component)

# Important results

The technical potentials, especially those of the CRISPR/Cas tools, make the genomes of plants and animals more extensively available for changes compared to conventional breeding. As a result, the pattern of intended and unintended genetic alterations (genotypes) can differ from those which are likely to result from conventional breeding (including random mutagenesis).

Also the intended and unintended effects, observed on the level of the organisms (phenotype), can largely differ from those which are emerging from conventional breeding and natural processes. This is also true if no additional genes are inserted into the genome.

Consequently, the effects that NGT organisms have on the environment can also be very different to those which might be expected from conventional breeding or natural processes.

In conclusion, each NGT organism ('event') released into the environment needs to undergo detailed mandatory risk assessment, including also potential interactions between NGT organisms.

# **Regulatory aspects**



Current regulation of NGTs: Intended traits, unintended side effects and unintended genetic changes triggering the need for risk assessment.



